UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,338	04/10/2006	04/10/2006 Toshimichi Makii		1811	
26289 A 7 EL ECTRO	7590 02/06/2008 NIC MATERIALS LISA (EXAMINER			
AZ ELECTRONIC MATERIALS USA CORP. ATTENTION: INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY DEPT.			THOMPSON RUMMEL, PONDER N		
70 MEISTER A SOMERVILLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,,,,,		1795		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		,	02/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary To 575,338			Applicat	ion No	Applicant(s)					
Examiner PONDER N. THOMPSON RUMNEL - The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the macherum stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is expecified above, the machine stabletory period will apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO and the second stable stable stable stable stable stable apply and will engile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - If NO and the second stable st	Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion ivo.						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - after the real may be a mainted with the previous of \$7 CR 1.10(b), the remain, bowerer, may a may be little place of the communication. - if No priod for may be specified some, the maximum dature previous all agree and will expire St (X) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if No priod for may be specified some, the maximum dature previous all agree and will expire St (X) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if No priod from the specified the office bern them emotities after the making date of this communication, even if stroky filed, may reduce any sented patent the adjustment. - if No priod from the mailing date of this communication, even if stroky filed, may reduce any sented patent the mailing date of this communication, even if stroky filed, may reduce any sented patent the mailing date of this communication, even if stroky filed, may reduce any sented patent the mailing date of this communication. - if No priod from the mailing date of this communication, even if stroky filed, may reduce any sented patent the mailing date of this communication. - if No priod from the specification is one condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims - Application of Claims - Application of Claims - Application is a patent of the priod from the maintenance of the priod from the patent pate			10/575,3	38	MAKII ET AL.					
## Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercision of time may be available under the profession at 3 °C PR 1.73(b), in no event, however, may a ripply be timely find. - It is provided to the may be available under the profession at 3 °C PR 1.73(b), in no event, however, may a ripply be timely find. - Exercision of time may be available under the profession and 3 °C PR 1.73(b), in no event, however, may a ripply be timely find. - If IND period for exply is specified above, his maximum studiety period will reply and will explicit SM, MONTHS from be unable date of this communication. - Palline to reply which has bed extended period for reply will, by statistic cause the application to become 48 ANO-XED (93 U.S.C. § 133). - Palline to reply which has bed extended period for reply will, by statistic cause the application to become 48 ANO-XED (93 U.S.C. § 133). - Palline to reply which has bed extended period for reply will, by statistic cause the septiation to become 48 ANO-XED (93 U.S.C. § 133). - Palline to reply which has bed extended period for reply will, by statistic cause the septiation to become 48 ANO-XED (93 U.S.C. § 133). - Palline to reply which has bed extended period for reply will, by statistic cause the septiation to become 48 ANO-XED (93 U.S.C. § 133). - Palline to reply which has bed extended period for reply will, by statistic cause the septiation to become 48 ANO-XED (93 U.S.C. § 133). - The septime adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). - The reply which has been caused the reply find the reply find. - Application of Palline to the above calcine to communication, even 1 flower find the palline to the palline to the application. - Application of the above calcine to condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the palline to the pa			Examine	r	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Extensions of these may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed □ If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum tailabory period will apply and will expire its QN MONTHS from the multiplicate of this communication of reply is specified by the filed in the training date of this communication, even if timely filed. Only reduce any counterparent term adjustment. See 97 GFR 1.74(b) This action is FINAL. □ ID This action is active the replication of a ID This active the active					1795					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1.13(b), in ne event, however, may a reply be limely filled and ref 3X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Find the provision of the provis	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1.3.8.11.13-15 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.8.11.13-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1.8.11.13-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-692) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-694) 5) ☐ Notice of Informat Palent Application	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.3-8.11.13-15 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) sare subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s)	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 20 November 2	2007.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	·	•								
All b) Scriffied copies of the priority documents have been received. Disposition of Claims Disposition Disposition of Claims Disposition Di	3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to th	e merits is				
4) Claim(s) 1.3-8.11.13-15 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Identical Paperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosures Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,11,13-15 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in the application.									
Solve Claim(s) 1-8,11,13-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Solve of Informal Patent Application										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11,13-15 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.									
Application Papers 9	•	•	., .							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicati	on Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)										
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) \[Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \[Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \[Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application										
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application										
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachmen	t(s)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application										
			O-948)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)				6) Other:	••					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/575,338

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori et al (US 6,207,343) in view of Kawata et al (5912102).
 With respect to claims, Fujimori et al discloses a positive photosensitive composition comprising:
 - A. An alka li soluble novolak (column 51, lines 9-11) in the amount no more than 50% by weight (column 51, lines 44-49);
 - B. A compound which decomposes by the action of an acid to enhance its solubility in alkaline developing solution represented by formula (Ib) wherein W_b is a divalent organic group (column 7, lines 7-15) and R3b is an alkyl group having 11 to 20 carbons (column 7, lines 16-25) and is the amount between 10-30% by weight of the total composition (column 49, lines 50-56);
 - C. An acid generator in the amounts of 0.01 to 20% by weight (column 73, lines 20-29);
 - D. A photosensitizing agent (column 76, lines 20-40)

Art Unit: 1795

E. An alka li soluble acrylic resin such as a hydroxystyrene and a copolymers of methacrylic acid esters (column 15 lines 32-43 and column 16, and lines 1-5) such as methyl methacrylate (column 79, lines 29-30) in amount from 5 to 30% (column 16, lines 7-12).

However, Fujimori et al fail to disclose the use of a photosensitizing agent (D) comprising a quinonediazide group within the resist composition.

Kawata et al. discloses a positive resist composition that comprises a phenolic resin (such as a condensation product of a phenol and aldehyde – column 3, lines 37-41) and a photosensitive agent that is composed of a quinonediazide sulfonate (column 4, lines 40-51) wherein the photosensitive agent is between 1-100 parts per weight and preferably 10-40 parts by weight with respect to 100 parts per weight of the phenolic resin (column 16, lines 53 –54). Too low of a concentration of the photosensitive agent will make it impossible to form a pattern and cause deterioration of resolution. A concentration that is too high will bring about the deterioration of thermal flow resistance (column 16, lines 57-59). Further, the use of quinonediazides with novolaks resins increase the resolution and enhance the performance and development of patterns (column 1, lines 42-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill within the art at the time of the invention to include a photosensitive agent as

Art Unit: 1795

disclosed by Kawata et al. within the composition of Iwanaga et al. to enhance pattern formation and to prevent deterioration of the resolution.

3. Claims 6, 13, 14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori et al (US 6,207,343) in view of Kawata et al. (US Patent 5,912,102) as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 17 and 18 above, and further in view of Nitta et al (U.S. 2002/0045130).

With respect to claims 6, 13, 14, 18 and 20, Fujimori et al. in view of Kawata et al. discloses the composition of claim 6 wherein the amounts of said components A, B, C D and E are stated above. However, Iwanaga et al. nor Kawata et al. to discloses the use of a compound comprising at least two vinyloxyalkylester groups within the resist.

Nitta et al. discloses a positive-working photoresist composition that comprises a crosslinking polyvinyloxy compound that serves to effect thermal crosslinking with a resin in the formation of the photoresist layer (paragraph [0034]. The use of the polyvinyloxy (vinyl ether group) within a photoresist composition produces a patterned resist layer with high pattern resolution and resistance against dry etching (paragraph [0005]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill within the art at the time of the invention to include the use of a vinyloxyalkyl ester as disclosed by Nitta et al. within the composition Iwanaga et al. and Kawata et al. to further enhance pattern resolution and resistance against dry etching.

Art Unit: 1795

Page 5

4. Claims 5, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori et al (US 6,207,343) in view of Kawata et al. (US Patent 5,912,102) and in view of Nitta et al (U.S. 2002/0045130) as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14, 17 and 18 and 20 above, and further in view of Iwanaga et al (US 5,962,180).

With respect to claim 5, Fujimori et al in view of Kawata et al discloses the photoresist composition as applied to claim 1 above along with the use of a polyvinyloxy compound of Nitta et al, but fails to disclose the use of a hydroxyalkymethacrylate.

Iwanaga et al discloses a radiation sensitive composition comprising:

- A. A polycon densation resin of at least a phenol and aldehyde
 (novolak column 10, lines 64-66) in the amount of no more than
 200 parts by weight (column 11, lines 10-13);
- B. An aceta I copolymer of formula 2 (column 2, lines 40-52)

wherein R₂ is a hydrogen atom or methyl group, R₃ is a hydrogen atom or alkyl group having 1 to 10 carbons, and R₄ and R₅ are independently an alkyl group having 1-10 carbons (column 2, lines 53-

Application/Control Number: 10/575,338 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

60) and in an amount of that is within 25-45 mol % (column 4, lines 59-61);

- C. An acid generator (paragraph [0022]) in the amount of 1 –10 parts by weight (column 8, lines 41-44);
- D. An alkali-soluble resin (copolymer (B) column 5, lines 51-61))
 such as a monomer of styrene, (meth)acrylic acid (Table 2 Example 9) and hydroxyethyl (meth)acrylate (column 6, lines 3 8).

The recurring unit comprising the hydroxyethyl methacrylate is copolymerized to improve the pattern shape and resolution of the resist (column 5, lines 54-56). The amounts used are from 0 to 30% mol based on all of the recurring units (column 6, lines 10-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill within the art at the time of the invention to include a copolymer of hydroxyethyl (meth)acrylate as disclosed by Iwanaga et al. within the photoresist of Fujimori et al. to improve the resolution and shape of the patterned resist.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-8, filed November 20, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon

Art Unit: 1795

further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Fujimori et al (US 6,207,343).

6. Applicant's arguments see pages 9-11, filed November 20, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5, 7, 9-11, 16-19 under U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made over Fujimori et al in view of Kawata et al (US 5,912,102). Fujimori et al in view of Kawata discloses the compound comprising the acetyl group as disclosed in applicant's claim 1 with the quinonediazide sensitizer of claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PONDER N. THOMPSON RUMMEL whose telephone number is (571)272-9816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 am - 4:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. N. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

> CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

'in The Kelly

Page 8